

**REPUBLIC OF SLOVENIA COURT OF AUDIT** 

# AUDIT REPORT **Regional development**

**Performance audit** Audit period: 1 January 2014 to 31 December 2017









# **Regional development**



### **Promotion of Balanced Regional Development Act**

defines that the **State and municipalities** are responsible for planning of regional policy and implementing regional development tasks.



The audit reviewed **the efficiency** of the auditees in managing regional development



#### **AUDITEES**

RS RS





Government of the Republic of Slovenia



**Ministry of Economic Development and** Technology



6 MUNICIPALITIES - Municipality of Tolmin, Municipality of Idrija, Municipality of Postojna, Municipality of Ilirska Bistrica, Municipality of Novo mesto and Municipality of Ribnica

Court of Audit of the Republic of Slovenia – audit report Regional development









# Government and Ministry of Economic Development and Technology

#### PLANNING

2	

€

by strategic documents **failed to define objectives and directions** for the preparation of regional development programmes

by regulations failed to clearly define the role of the municipalities for coherent development within a development region

by regulations **failed to fully regulate financing** of the development institutions

GO\	/ER	N	ME	NT

**Ministry of Economic Development and** Technology

 $\mathbf{O}$   $\mathbf{O}$   $\mathbf{O}$ 

ΟΟΓ

ОГ

212

 $\mathbf{n}$ 

 $\Box \Omega \Omega$ 



Selection criteria of ministerial **projects** for the Ministry or the Government were not demanded and not presented.



For the preparation of the regional development programmes (2014-2020) it cooperated with representatives of the regions,



but failed to present instructions on regional projects that shall be financially supported by the State.





they monitored operations of the regional development system and proposed minor **changes** of regulations that were adopted



#### **IMPLEMENTATION**



On the basis of the assistance of the Government in 2016 and 2017 it discussed agreements with regions on projects that the State can support,



but the **implementation of the** procedure was slow and was not completed in due time.



3

### **Municipalities** The audit included 6 municipalities from 3 development regions



RS RS

### Planning regional development





Municipalities **defined** in their strategic documents some objectives that were indirectly linked to regional development,

but failed to directly define objectives of municipalities in the field of regional development.



Municipalities **cooperated in drawing up** regional development programmes, **in defining objectives** at the level of development regions, and **submitted their** project proposals,



but the proposals usually failed to originate in broader aspect of balanced development of those **regions**, rather were based on direct needs of the proposing municipality



4

# **Municipalities** | Implementing the tasks

#### **Directing development institutions**



Municipalities supported the **operations** of the development institutions towards the regionalism,



**Regulation of the co-financing** of the development institutions is relatively well defined for general tasks and projects within the agreements for regional development,

but **failed to direct** the work of the development institutions towards the coherent development or reducing the differences within the development region.

but the method of financing development institutions pertaining to other regional projects activities of development institutions is **not defined**. Some municipalities concluded direct contracts with development institutions in order to financially cover those activities.



RS RS

Municipalities **financially supported only few projects** of the development institutions that could be categorised as regional projects according to the criteria of the Court of Audit. Usually they jointly supported projects that are co-financed by the State.

#### Supervising development institutions

Key supervision approach of municipalities was in verifying annual programmes of work and annual reports of the development institutions, whereby the impact of each municipality differed according to the share of their voting rights in a development institution. Those shares did not necessarily correspond to shares of population in the region.



Municipalities failed to divide basic or common development tasks among several development institutions in the development region, thus failed to appropriately reduce the risk of duplication of tasks.

Court of Audit of the Republic of Slovenia – audit report Regional development



# Key weaknesses in managing regional development

### Government and Ministry of Economic Development and Technology:



their strategic and programming documents **failed to provide clear and timely directions on** which **regional issues** and thereto related projects shall be financially supported by the State



in their regulations failed to clearly define the role of the municipalities

in ensuring coherent development within a development region

### $\blacksquare OPINION OF THE COURT OF AUDIT$



The Government of the Republic of Slovenia and the Ministry of Economic Development and Technology were partially efficient in managing regional development.



Court of Audit of the Republic of Slovenia – audit report Regional development



in their regulations failed to fully address funding of development institutions

### **Občine:**



their **operations were not oriented towards coherent development** within a development region

**Municipalities** of Tolmin, Idrija, Postojna, Ilirska Bistrica, Novo mesto and Ribnica were **partially efficient** in managing regional development.



## Demands

The Court of Audit demanded the implementation of the following corrective measure:

The Government of the Republic of Slovenia has to present a plan of activities for defining objectives and directions of regional policy.

### Recommendations

The Court of Audit issued 15 recommendations, among others:

#### Government of the Republic of Slovenia -

	€
Ч	

shall draw up regulations comprehensively defining rules of financing development institutions for municipalities



**ensure** the regional policy authority access to cohesion policy information system



shall ensure policy coherence, namely of: European cohesion policy, local self-government policy and harmonious development of regions policy





#### **Ministry of Economic Development and Technology**

- **shall demand** that regional projects must be based on analyses of the entire area of a development region
- shall prepare multi-annual **programme** of regional policy



#### **Municipalities**

shall support regional projects oriented towards the reduction of development differences within a development region

shall **set up** municipal **objectives** 

in the field of regional policy



shall draw up and support regional projects **based on analyses** of the entire area of a development region



7